



D. Johnson
#18 5/9/97
Amelt/C

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of)	
GILBERT P. HYATT)	Group Art Unit: 2616
Serial No. 08/464,034)	Examiner: Larry Prikockis
Docket No. 751)	
Filed: June 5, 1995)	
For: IMPROVED IMAGE PROCESSING ARCHITECTURE)	

AMENDMENT, TRANSITIONAL AFTER-FINAL PRACTICE

Hon. Assistant Commissioner
For Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231
Sir:

I REMARKS

1.1 RELIANCE ON THE RECORD

The outstanding grounds of objection and rejection have been traversed in the record and the Applicant relies on the record. For example, the record establishes the impropriety of the double patenting rejections. See the AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.111 mailed on April 22, 1996.

The Applicant makes note that the rejection of claims 21-94 over claims of the '951 patent is further improper for the following reason. The rejection states that "the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter. However, the '951 patent and the instant application have significantly different disclosures and claim significantly different subject matter. For example, the Examiner is requested to compare the figures of the '951 patent